



TITLE IX APPEAL REQUEST FORM

Background Information

Parties to a Title IX Complaint may appeal a Title IX Determination (as defined in the Academic Catalog). To appeal, individuals must complete this form and provide detailed information, relevant facts, and supporting documentation that establish a prima facie case of a Title IX Actionable Error. The appeal must be submitted within five (5) business days from the date the student is notified of the Title IX Determination.

The Title IX Appeal Board's role is not to re-evaluate the merits of the original case but to determine whether a Title IX Actionable Error occurred that materially affected the Title IX Determination. The Title IX Appeal Board lacks authority to review appeals related to: (i) Institutional Determinations, (ii) Financial Assistance or Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) Warnings, (iii) Admissions decisions, (iv) Civil Rights Matters or (v) Other matters outside the scope of Title IX Actionable Errors. See the Academic Catalog for additional information on Title IX Appeals.

Title IX Actionable Error

A Title IX Actionable Error is defined as:

- A procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter;
- New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination was made and that would have affected the outcome of the decision; and/or
- A conflict of interest or bias by the Title IX Compliance Coordinator, Title IX Investigator, or Case Decision-Maker had a conflict of interest or bias that affected the outcome of the matter.

Title IX Appeal Procedure

Complete this Appeal Request Form, including signing the form and providing supporting documentation (if applicable), and submit this form by the deadline (see above) via (i) email to titleixappeals@jerseycollege.edu with a confirmation request; (ii) regular or express mail addressed to Jersey College, Attention: Title IX Appeal Board, 546 US Highway 46, Teterboro, NJ 07608; or (iii) hand-delivered to the Campus Director. Submission of the Title IX Appeal Request Form is mandatory, and appeals will not be reviewed without it. Disagreement with the Title IX Determination or its sanctions does not constitute grounds for an appeal.

Formation and Purpose of Title IX Appeal Board

The formation of a Title IX Appeal Board is not automatic. The decision to convene Title IX Appeal Board and hear a case is subject to the appealing party presenting in the Title IX Appeal Request Form a prima facie case of a Title IX Actionable Error. The Chancellor of the College (or designated appointee) is responsible for determining that the appeal request includes facts and/or documentation that present a prima facie case of a Title IX Actionable Error that warrant convening a Title IX Appeal Board.

The purpose of the appeal process is to determine whether a Title IX Actionable Error occurred that materially affected the outcome — it is not an opportunity to relitigate the facts, re-argue the merits of the case, or seek a different result based on the same record. The Title IX Appeal Board's role is narrowly focused on correcting serious errors in the process, not on substituting its judgment for that of the original decision-maker.

What is a Prima Facie Case?

A prima facie case requires that the appeal submission, on its face and when reviewed together with the underlying record, contain specific facts and information sufficient to show a reasonable basis for concluding that a Title IX Actionable Error occurred. This is a meaningful screening standard, not a formality.

At the prima facie screening stage, the Chancellor reviews the appeal submission along with the full record of the original matter. This may include the investigative file, exhibits, witness statements, emails, documents, and any other materials relevant to the determination. The Chancellor may also speak with the original decision-maker, investigator, adjudicator, or other individuals involved in the matter to clarify the record or obtain additional context.

An appeal submission must do more than make general claims of unfairness, repeat allegations that were already investigated and rejected, or assert that the process “felt” wrong. It must identify a specific policy violation or other Title IX Actionable Error and show, with reference to the record, how that error actually affected the result.

Appeals will be denied at the prima facie stage if:

- The allegations are contradicted by the documented record or are inconsistent with the evidence that was relied upon in reaching the original determination.

- The appeal repeats claim(s) that were already considered and rejected during the original investigation or adjudication.
- The appeal makes only general assertions of unfairness or dissatisfaction without identifying a specific published policy or procedure that was violated.
- The allegations in the appeal even if accepted as true, would not have materially affected the outcome of the matter.
- The appeal fails to provide enough specific information for the Chancellor to determine that there is a reasonable basis to believe an Actionable Error occurred.

If an appeal does not meet this standard, it will be denied without convening a Title IX Appeal Board. An appeal is not a retrial.

What is a Procedural Irregularity

A procedural irregularity is a material deviation from the College's published Title IX policies and procedures that actually affected the outcome of the matter. It is not enough to feel that the process was unfair or that the appealing party disagrees with the result. The appealing party must show that a specific, required step was not followed and that this failure affected the final decision. Minor, technical, or harmless deviations from procedure generally do not qualify as Title IX Actionable Errors.

To establish a prima facie case on this ground, an appealing party must:

- (i) identify a specific published policy or procedural requirement that was not followed, and
- (ii) explain how that deviation materially changed (or was reasonably likely to have changed) the final result.

The following are examples of the types of procedural irregularities that may support a valid appeal, provided the appealing party can demonstrate that the error materially affected the outcome:

- The College failed to provide the appealing party with the notice, information, or opportunity to respond that is expressly required by published Title IX policy or procedure.
- The investigator or adjudicator considered evidence, witness statements, or other information that published procedures did not permit to be considered, without first giving the appealing party a meaningful opportunity to review and respond to that information.
- A required step in the Title IX investigation or adjudication process (such as a mandated interview, review of specific evidence, procedural safeguard, or required consultation) was entirely omitted, and that omission prejudiced the appealing party's ability to present their position or affected the determination.
- The process deviated from required timelines, decision-maker qualifications, recusal rules, or other procedural protections in a manner that compromised the basic fairness or impartiality of the proceeding and changed the outcome.
- The appealing party was denied access to materials, evidence summaries, or information that published College policy required to be provided to the appealing party, and that denial materially impaired the appealing party's ability to respond effectively.

Important limitations: The following do not constitute a procedural irregularity:

- Disagreement with how evidence was interpreted or weighed, how facts were found, or what sanction or remedy was imposed.
- A procedural deviation that was later cured or that did not actually affect the final result.
- General claims that the process "felt unfair," "was one-sided," or "lacked due process," without identifying a specific published policy or procedure that was violated.
- Assertions that the College "should have" provided notice, evidence, a hearing, an interview, additional time, or any other step or information, when no published policy or procedure actually required it.
- Claims that the appealing party was entitled to a particular process, document, meeting, or opportunity simply because they believe it would have been fairer, more thorough, or more favorable to them.
- Arguments based on what the appealing party subjectively expected or desired, rather than on a clear violation of an existing, published requirement.
- Repetition of allegations that the record shows were already addressed during the original investigation or adjudication (for example, claims of insufficient notice or opportunity to respond when the record demonstrates that an investigatory interview was conducted and the appealing party was invited to provide information and witnesses).
- Challenges to the outcome or sanction based solely on the belief that a different or lesser result should have been reached, without demonstrating that an Actionable Error occurred.
- General dissatisfaction with the investigation, the decision-maker, the evidence considered, or the thoroughness of the process, when the process followed the College's published policies and procedures.

Title IX Appeal Hearings

Title IX Appeals are decided upon the record of the original proceeding and any written information submitted by any of the parties in the appeal. In preparation for a Title IX Appeal, the Title IX Respondent and Title IX Complainant may have access to the recording of the Title IX Hearing. The Title IX Appeal Board may solicit written clarification on any issue raised on appeal from the Case Decision-Maker assigned to the case, the Title IX Compliance Coordinator, the Title IX Investigator, the Title IX Complainant, or the Title IX Respondent.

The appealing party in a Title IX Appeal must demonstrate the existence of a Title IX Actionable Error by clear and convincing evidence, meaning the evidence must be highly and substantially more likely to be true, instilling a firm belief in its validity. The Title IX Appeal Board retains discretion to assess the relevance and weight of evidence.

Title IX Appeal Decisions

The Title IX Appeal Board's role is strictly limited to determining whether a Title IX Actionable Error occurred that materially affected the outcome of the matter. An appeal is not a retrial or a second opportunity to argue the underlying facts or merits of the case. The Title IX Appeal Board exists solely to correct serious errors in the process — not to reach a different outcome on the same record. The Title IX Appeal Board does not re-decide the case on the merits, re-weigh evidence, re-interpret facts, reassess credibility, or substitute its own judgment for that of the original Title IX Adjudicator or investigator.

The Title IX Appeal Board solely will either:

- (i) Affirm the Title IX Determination if no Actionable Error is found; or
- (ii) Remand the case for re-evaluation and adjudication by the Title IX Adjudicator if a Title IX Actionable Error is determined to exist.¹

¹ If the Title IX Actionable Error involves a conflict of interest or bias, the case will be remanded, the conflicted or biased individual will be replaced, and the proceedings will resume from the point where such individual became involved with the matter. Appointments will adhere to the Title IX Complaint Process procedures.



TITLE IX APPEAL REQUEST FORM

Name: _____

Phone: _____ Email: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Campus: _____

I am basing my appeal on one or more of the following reasons (please check the appropriate boxes and provide information).² Please attach additional sheets as needed.

- A substantial procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter. Deviations from the designated procedures will not be a basis for sustaining an appeal unless material harm or prejudice results.

Please identify the procedural error(s) that took place and how the error(s) materially prevented a fair, impartial or proper hearing, and affected the outcome of the matter.

² To file an appeal, you must submit precise, accurate, and comprehensive facts supported by relevant, verifiable documentation. This detailed submission is critical for the College to thoroughly assess whether your appeal establishes a prima facie case of an error that falls within the jurisdiction of the Title IX Appeal Board. Ensure that all provided information is clear, well-organized, and directly addresses the alleged error to enable the College to make an informed decision regarding the validity of your appeal.

- Discovery of new evidence that was unavailable at the time of the decision, and which reasonably could have affected the decision of the investigating body.

Please describe the new evidence and, if appropriate and possible, attach it to this appeal. Indicate why the information was not provided at the time of the hearing. Also indicate why the information is sufficient to alter the original decision in the case.

- The Civil Rights Compliance Coordinator, Investigator, or Civil Rights Adjudicator has a conflict of interest or bias that affected the outcome of the matter.^{3,4}

Please explain in detail the conflict of interest and bias that affected the outcome. To support a claim of conflict of interest or bias, the appealing party must provide overt and substantiated evidence of the conflict or bias, and how such conflict or bias did not afford for a bona fide and fair determination. Mere allegations are insufficient for an appeal.

I certify that the information presented in my appeal is accurate, to the best of my knowledge. I understand that providing false information is a violation of the Code of Student Conduct and subject to disciplinary charges.

Signature

Date

³ Participation or involvement in a matter, including actions taken in the normal course of a person's job, does not, by itself, create a conflict of interest or bias. A conflict of interest or bias exists only when there significant, direct and material involvement in the matter, such as conducting the investigation, rendering the decision or a party directly engaged in the Title IX matter. Disqualification is justified only if these actions clearly compromise the fairness or impartiality of the proceedings. For clarity purposes, the following individuals are deemed to be significantly involved in a Title IX matter: the Title IX Investigator, Title IX Compliance Coordinator, and Case Decision-Maker in the same matter.

⁴ Employment, agency, or other contractual relationships with the College do not inherently constitute a bias or a conflict of interest, and therefore individuals with such affiliations may participate in Title IX Appeals.